

## Snow tracking quality

(this gives the same information as on the reverse side, just in a different format)

**4 - Best:** Every print registers, and detail within prints is very clear. Species identification is essentially absolute based on track details.

**3 - Good:** Every print register, but details are weak, perhaps obscured by snow falling into print. Print details usually visible in microtopographic sites, e.g., tree wells and shadows. Identification is based on track details, but gait patterns offer needed support.

**2 - Acceptable:** Some prints fail to register, and footprints details, if present, are visible only in microtopographic sites. Identification based primarily on gait patterns.

**1 - Poor:** Many prints do not register. Track details lacking. Identification is essentially by gait patterns, and may be possible only in microtopographic sites.

**0 - Unacceptable:** Target species does not leave enough prints to identify gait patterns left in trails.

(see reverse side also)

## Snow tracking quality summary

(this gives the same information as on the reverse side, just in a different format)

Rating	Prints	Detail	Detail Location	Gait Pattern	ID
4	Every print registers	Clear within print	All locations	Distinctive	By tracks, essentially absolute
3	Every print registers	Weak, snow obscured	Details in microtopographic sites	Gain importance	By prints and gaits
2	Some do not register	No details in open	Only in microhabitats	important	By gaits, clues from details
1	Many do not register	No details	No details	Sole clue	By gaits
0	Most prints to not register	No detail	No detail	Not complete	Not possible

(see reverse side also)